



HIGHWAYS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

13 October 2015

Subject Heading:

**TRAFFIC AND PARKING SCHEME
REQUESTS**

CMT Lead:

Andrew Blake-Herbert

Report Author and contact details:

**Ben Jackson
Business Unit Engineer
ben.jackson@havering.gov.uk**

Policy context:

Traffic and Parking Control

Financial summary:

Costs cannot be estimated at this stage but any cost for agreed locations would be met by 2015/16 revenue budget for Minor Traffic and Parking

The subject matter of this report deals with the following Council Objectives

Havering will be clean and its environment will be cared for	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
People will be safe, in their homes and in the community	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Residents will be proud to live in Havering	<input type="checkbox"/>

SUMMARY

This report presents applications for on-street minor traffic and parking schemes for which the Committee will make recommendations to the Cabinet Member for Environment who will then recommend a course of action to the Head of StreetCare to either progress, reject or hold pending further review.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Committee considers the on-street minor traffic and parking scheme requests set out in the Schedule, Section A – Minor Traffic and Parking scheme requests for prioritisation and for each application the Committee either;
 - (a) Recommends that the Cabinet Member for Environment advise that the Head of StreetCare should proceed with the detailed design and advertisement (where required) of the minor traffic and parking scheme; or
 - (b) Recommends that the Cabinet Member for Environment advise that the Head of StreetCare should not proceed further with the minor traffic and parking scheme.
2. That the Committee notes the contents of the Schedule, Section B – Minor Traffic and Parking scheme requests on hold for future discussion.
3. That it be noted that any schemes taken forward to public consultation and advertisement (where required) will be subject to a further report to the Committee and a decision by the Cabinet Member for Environment should recommendation for implementation is made and accepted by the Cabinet Member for Environment.
4. That it be noted that the estimated cost of implementing each scheme is set out in the Schedule along with the funding source

REPORT DETAIL

1.0 Background

- 1.1 The Highways Advisory Committee receives all on-street minor traffic and parking scheme requests. The Committee advises whether a scheme should progress or not before resources are expended on detailed design and consultation.
- 1.2 Approved Schemes are generally funded through a revenue budget (A24650). Other sources may be available from time to time and the Committee will be advised if an alternative source of funding is potentially available and the mechanism for releasing such funding.

- 1.3 Where the Committee recommends to the Cabinet Member for Environment that it's approved a scheme to be progressed, then subject to the approval of the Cabinet Member for Environment the Head of StreetCare will proceed with the detailed design, consultation and public advertisement (where required). The outcome of consultations will then be reported to the Committee, which will make recommendations to the Cabinet Member for Environment.
- 1.4 Where the Committee recommends to the Cabinet Member for Environment that a scheme should not be progressed subject to the approval of the Cabinet Member for Environment the Head of StreetCare will not undertake further work and the proposed scheme will be removed from the Schemes application list. Schemes removed from the list will not be eligible for re-representation for a period of six months commencing on the date of the Highways Advisory Committee rejection.
- 1.5 In order to manage and prioritise this workload, a schedule has been prepared to deal with applications for schemes and is split as follows;
- (i) Section A – Minor Traffic and Parking requests. These requests may be funded through the Council's revenue budget (A24650) for Minor Traffic and Parking Schemes or an alternative source of funding (which is identified) and the Committee advises the Cabinet Member for Environment to recommend to the Head of StreetCare whether each request is taken forward to detailed design and consultation or not.
 - (ii) Section B – Minor Traffic and Parking scheme requests on hold for future discussion. These are projects or requests where a decision is not yet required (because of timing issues) or the matter is being held pending further discussion or funding issues.
- 1.6 The schedule contains information on funding source, likely budget (as a self-contained scheme, including design costs), the request originator, date placed on the schedule and a contact point so that Staff may inform the person requesting the scheme the outcome of the Committee advice to the Cabinet Member for Environment.

IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

Financial implications and risks:

The estimated cost of each request is set out in the Schedule for the Committee to note.

The costs shown are an estimate of the full costs to implement a scheme should it be ultimately implemented. It should be noted that further decisions are to be made following a full report to the Committee and with the Cabinet Member approval process being completed where a scheme is recommended for implementation.

Overall costs will need to be contained within the overall revenue budget.

Where other funding streams are sought, for example Invest to Save bids, no scheme will be progressed until relevant funding is secured and if dependent funding is not secured, then schemes will be removed from the work programme.

Legal implications and risks:

Many aspects of on-street minor traffic and parking schemes require consultation and the advertisement of proposals before a decision can be taken on their introduction.

When the Cabinet Member for Environment approves a request, then public advertisement and consultation would proceed to then be reported back in detail to the Committee following closure of the consultation period. The Committee will then advise the Cabinet Member for Environment to approve the scheme for implementation.

With all requests considered through the Schedule, a formal set of Recommendations and a record of the Committee decisions are required so that they stand up to scrutiny.

Human Resources implications and risks:

None.

Equalities implications and risks:

Decisions need to be made which are in accordance with various equality and diversity considerations, the advice of which will be reported in detail to the Committee so that they may advise the Cabinet Member for Environment.

BACKGROUND PAPERS

None.